## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

	Pi	roject Name:	Fenchurch Street	Healthy Streets Plan				PM's overall risk rating:	Low		CRP requested this gateway				Average nitigated risk	Average ligated risk		5.7			Open Risks		
Unique project identifier: -					Total	Total estimated cost (exc risk): £ 240,000			Total CRP used to date	£		Average mitigated risk score			3.1			Closed Risks		0			
General risk classification									Mitigation actions								o & Action						
Risk ID	Gateway	Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classificatic n pre- mitigation	Impact Classification n pre- mitigation		Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Classifica on post-	d Impact ti Classifica ion post- mitigation	mitigation (£)	Mitiga	CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Date raised	Named Departmenta Risk Manager Coordinator		Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to Issues	Comment(s)
R1	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Some or all of the data collection exercise cannot be completed due to survey companies having no available capacity at this time	Delay and possible increased cost to project programme	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00	Ν	8 – Fairly Confident	Procure the surveys as an open tender to increase the possibility of a company able to undertake the surveys, and complete the procurement exercise as early as possible to increase the likelihood of companies having spare capacity	£0.00	) Unlike	ly Seriou	is £0.00	) 4	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
2	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Proposals identified are not supported by key stakeholders.	The BID in particular may not agree with proposals that are identified in early emgagement.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	Ν	B – Fairly Confident	Work closely with the EC BID to understand/identify their objectives and goals	£0.00	) Unlikely	Minor	£0.0	2	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
R3	2	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	Change in political leadership within TfL or City Corporation		Unlikely	Major	8	£0.00	Ν	B – Fairly Confident	Informing City of London members of progress and benefits of the project and identifying in Transport Strategy delivery plan	£0.00	) Rare	Major	£0.00	4	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
R4	2	(2) Financial	Insufficent funds to progress HSP or the project loses a funding source	Will delay HSP progression or result in the cancellation of the project	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	Ν	B – Fairly Confident	Work closely with City's Planning Team to understand/identify upcoming developments within the project area	£0.00	) Unlikely	Serious	£0.0	4	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
R5	2	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	Brexit or external factors affect labour costs	Higher or lower costs of traffic surveys and traffic modelling than estimated	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00	Ν	B – Fairly Confident	Review costs at each stage of HSP developemnt	£0.00	Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		
R6	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Public consultation responses do not support the proposals.	Businesses, residents and highway users do not support proposals.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	Ν	B – Fairly Confident	Engagement will seek the opinions of the wider community including businesses, residents and visitors.Proposals will balance the responses by all stakeholders.	£0.00	) Unlikely	Minor	£0.0	) 2	£0.00		12/01/2024	Gillian Howard	Stephen Oliver		